1	DANIELLE M. HOLT	
2	(Nevada Bar No. 13152)	
2	DE CASTROVERDE LAW GROUP	
3	1149 S Maryland Pkwy	
	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Ph (702) 222-9999	
4	Fax (702) 383-8741	
5	danielle@decastroverdelaw.com	
6	JESSICA L. BLOME	
7	(Cal. Bar No. 314898, admitted pro hac vice) JENNIFER RAE LOVKO	
8	(Cal. Bar No. 208855, admitted pro hac vice) GREENFIRE LAW, PC	
9	2748 Adeline Street, Suite A	
	Berkeley, CA 94703	
10	(510) 900-9502	
11	jblome@greenfirelaw.com	
11	rlovko@greenfirelaw.com	
12	0. 70.1.100	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	GANA FOUNDATION	1
16	CANA FOUNDATION, a non-profit	
17	corporation, LAURA LEIGH, individually, and WILD HORSE EDUCATION, a nonprofit	CASE NO. 2:22-cv-01200-CDS-BNW
1,	corporation,	CASE 110. 2.22-CV-01200-CDS-BIVW
18	Corporation,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF
19	Plaintiffs,	MOTION AND MOTION FOR
19	W	JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF
20	v.	PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
21		DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION
21	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND
22	INTERIOR, BUREAU OF LAND	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
	MANAGEMENT, and JON RABY, Nevada State Director of the Bureau of Land	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
23	Management,	SUMMAKI JUDGMENI
24	Tranagement,	
	Defendants.	
25		
26		
20	//	
27	//	
28		
	II	

1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the accompanying Memorandum of Law,	
2	Declaration of Jessica Blome, and exhibits attached thereto, together with all prior pleadings and	
3	proceedings had herein, Plaintiffs hereby move this Court and request that this Court take	
4	judicial notice of the following documents filed in Animal Wellness Action v. BLM (U.S. District	
5	Court for the District of Nevada, Case No. 3:22-cv-00034-MMD-CLB): (1) Plaintiffs' First	
6	Amended Complaint For Injunctive and Declaratory Relief, (2) Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion For	
7	Summary Judgment and Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support Thereof, (3)	
8	Memorandum In Support of Federal Defendants' Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment and In	
9	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment; (4) Plaintiffs' Opposition to	
10	Defendants' Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment and Reply In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion	
11	For Summary Judgment; (5) Federal Defendants' Reply In Support of Their Cross-Motion For	
12	Summary Judgment; (6) February 22, 2024 Minute Order Requesting Supplemental Briefing; (7)	
13	Federal Defendants' Supplemental Response to Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment In	
14	Accordance With This Court's February 22, 2024 Order; (8) Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply In	
15	Accordance With This Court's February 22, 2024 Order; (9) March 28, 2024 Opinion and Order	
16	Granting, in Part, Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment; and (10) Case Judgment.	
17	This request for Judicial Notice is being filed concurrently with and in support of	
18	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in	
19	Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment	
20	DATED: April 12, 2024, Respectfully Submitted,	
21	<u>s/ Jennifer Rae Lovko</u>	
22	Danielle M. Holt	
23	(Nevada Bar No. 13152) DE CASTROVERDE LAW GROUP	
24	1149 S Maryland Pkwy	
25	Las Vegas, NV 89104 (702) 222-9999	
26	danielle@decastroverdelaw.com	
27		

Case 2:22-cv-01200-CDS-BNW Document 65 Filed 04/12/24 Page 3 of 6

Jessica L. Blome (Cal. Bar No. 314898, admitted pro hac vice) Jennifer Rae Lovko (Cal. Bar No. 208855, admitted pro hac vice) GREENFIRE LAW, PC 2748 Adeline Street, Suite A Berkeley, CA 94703 (510) 900-9502 jblome@greenfirelaw.com rlovko@greenfirelaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs move this Court to take judicial notice of the following documents filed in Animal Wellness Action v. BLM (U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada, Case No. 3:22cv-00034-MMD-CLB): (1) Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint For Injunctive and Declaratory Relief, attached as **Exhibit 1** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (2) Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion For Summary Judgment and Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support Thereof, attached as **Exhibit 2** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (3) Memorandum In Support of Federal Defendants' Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment and In Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment, attached as Exhibit 3 to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (4) Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment and Reply In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment, attached as Exhibit 4 to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (5) Federal Defendants' Reply In Support of Their Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment, attached as Exhibit 5 to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (6) February 22, 2024 Minute Order Requesting Supplemental Briefing, attached as **Exhibit 6** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (7) Federal Defendants' Supplemental Response to Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment In Accordance With This Court's February 22, 2024 Order, attached as **Exhibit 7** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (8) Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply In Accordance With This Court's February 22, 2024 Order, attached as Exhibit 8 to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; (9) March 28, 2024 Opinion and Order Granting, in Part, Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, attached as **Exhibit 9** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome; and (10) Case Judgment, attached as **Exhibit 10** to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome.

On March 28, 2024, the Court in *Animal Wellness Action v. BLM* issued an order that granted, in part, Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, ruling that 43 C.F.R. §4710.3-1 creates a mandatory duty for BLM to create HMAPs, land use plans and gather plans cannot be used in lieu of HMAPs to fulfill Section 4710.3-1's HMAP preparation mandate, and BLM's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

decades-long delay in preparing an HMAP for HMAs is unreasonable. *See* Exhibit 9 to the accompanying Declaration of Jessica Blome. Plaintiffs now seek issue preclusion based upon this order, and judicial notice of the complaint and relevant briefs is sought for the purpose of allowing this Court to rule on issue preclusion.

Court orders are public records and their contents are both easily verified and not subject to reasonable dispute, and as such, they may be considered for judicial notice pursuant to Rule 201. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2). Further, courts may take judicial notice of court opinions and briefs for the purpose of ruling on issue preclusion. *See* Holder v. Holder, 305 F.3d 854, 866 (9th Cir. 2002); *see also St. Louis Baptist Temple, Inc. v. Fed. Deposit Ins. Corp.*, 605 F.2d 1169, 1172 (10th Cir. 1979) (courts can "take notice of proceedings in other courts, both within and without the federal judicial system, if those proceedings have a direct relation to matters at issue); *Chandler v. O'Bryan*, 311 F. Supp. 1121, 1123 (W.D. Okla. 1969) (judicial notice of court records appropriate where case involved the same parties and concerned matters relevant to and leading to the issues involved in the present case).

For these reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Court to take judicial notice of the Order attached to the declaration accompanying this motion.

DATED: April 12, 2024, Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jennifer Rae Lovko

Danielle M. Holt (Nevada Bar No. 13152) DE CASTROVERDE LAW GROUP 1149 S Maryland Pkwy Las Vegas, NV 89104 (702) 222-9999 danielle@decastroverdelaw.com

Jessica L. Blome (Cal. Bar No. 314898, admitted pro hac vice) Jennifer Rae Lovko (Cal. Bar No. 208855, admitted pro hac vice) GREENFIRE LAW, PC 2748 Adeline Street, Suite A Berkeley, CA 94703

28

26

27

Case 2:22-cv-01200-CDS-BNW Document 65 Filed 04/12/24 Page 6 of 6